

COCHIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

10th of April 1929.
Wednesday, the _____
28th of Meenam 1104.

The House met at Half past Eleven of the Clock with the President in the Chair. Mr. A. Eachara Menon, Dr. A. G. Menon and Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon were absent.

Questions and Answers.

Building grant to Ernakulam Muslim Hostel.

495. Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether they propose to grant a building grant to the Muslim Hostel, Ernakulam?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—The question has not come up for the consideration of Government.

Reprinting the Cochin Law Reports.

496. Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether they propose to re-print the Cochin Law Reports immediately?

Mr. U. Kandar Menon.—Government have already taken up the work.

Issue of a new volume of the Unrepealed Regulations.

497. Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they propose to issue a new volume of the Unrepealed Regulations;

(b) if the answer to question (a) is in the affirmative, will the Government kindly state when?

Mr. U. Kandar Menon.—(a) There is no proposal at present.

(b) The question does not arise.

Trichur Hidayathul Islam Sabha.

498. Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—Will the Government kindly say whether they propose to give a substantial help to

the Hidayathul Islam Sabha, Trichur, for the purchase of a site for their school?

Rao Sahib Mr. C. Matthai.—The Government have already sanctioned a special grant of Rs. 2,000 to this school under the grant-in-aid rules of the *Education Code* and they regret that nothing more can be given.

Assault of non-Caste Hindus.

499. Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) has it been brought to the attention of the Government that non-Hindus standing on public roads are assaulted by some caste-Hindu processionists that take part in the *Para* Procession at Ernakulam for alleged pollution of *Para* by looking at it;

(b) has the attention of the Government been drawn to a leaflet issued over the signature of one Mr. K. M. Aboo Backer alleging that a Muslim was assaulted this year on the occasion of the *Para* Procession at Ernakulam;

(c) has the Government made enquiries about the said leaflet;

(d) are the Government aware of similar incidents that have taken place in previous years also;

(e) if the answer to (d) is in the affirmative, are they aware that those assaults took place in the presence of the Police;

(f) does the Government propose to take any step to prevent a repetition of such incidents?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) No complaints seem to have been received in the matter in previous years. This year, however, the leaflet, referred to in part (b), contained news of such assault.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

(d) No.

(e) No reply is called for in view of the above answer to (d).

(f) No special steps are necessary in the matter.

Adjournment of the last Council meeting.

500. Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) why the Legislative Council rose earlier before lunch on Friday, the 11th Kumbham 1104;

(b) why the Council was adjourned without doing any business as soon as the Council re-assembled after lunch on 11th Kumbham 1104?